Exactly and Quasi-Exactly Solvable 'Discrete' Quantum Mechanics

Ryu Sasaki

Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

Brief introduction to the discrete quantum mechanics is given together with the main results on various exactly solvable systems. Namely, the intertwining relations, shape invariance, Heisenberg operator solutions, annihilation/creation operators, dynamical symmetry algebras including the q-oscillator algebra and the Askey-Wilson algebra. A simple recipe to construct exactly and quasi-exactly solvable Hamiltonians in one-dimensional 'discrete' quantum mechanics is presented. It reproduces all the known ones whose eigenfunctions consist of the Askey scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials of a continuous or a discrete variable. Several new exactly and quasi-exactly solvable ones are constructed. The sinusoidal coordinate plays an essential role.

Keywords:

Æ discrete quantum mechanics, difference Schrödinger equation, exact solvability, quasi-exact solvability, intertwining relations, shape invariance, Heisenberg operator solutions, closure relations, Askey-Wilson algebra

1. Introduction

This is an expanded version of my talk in Robin Bullough Memorial Symposium, 11 June 2009, Manchester.† I met Robin Bullough in the Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen in 1979, after finishing two papers on unified geometric theory of soliton equations (Sasaki 1979a, b). Robin was my mentor and navigator when I started cruising into an unknown sea of integrable systems and soliton theory. Thirty years ago, the subject was young and rough but it was very fertile and full of good promises. He gave me good suggestions and comments on my third and fourth papers on geometric approach to soliton equations (Sasaki 1979c, 1980a). We produced joint papers, one on 'Geometry of AKNS-ZS scheme' (Sasaki & Bullough1980) and the other on non-local conservation laws of sine-Gordon equation (Sasaki & Bullough 1981). Collaboration was a fruitful experience and I learned a lot from him and got to know many friends and experts through him. As a result, I am still working in the general area of integrable systems, and in particular, the general mechanism of integrability and solvability as a means to understand nonlinear interactions beyond perturbation, rather than some specific properties of certain equations.

† Contribution to the Theme issue of the *Philosophical Transactions A* entitled Nonlinear phenomena, Optical and Quantum solitons, dedicated to the figure of Robin Bullough.

Here I present an overview of the problems I have been working in recent twelve years; exactly and quasi-exactly solvable quantum particle dynamics. Due to the lack of space, I have to concentrate on the most basic but most interesting part of the problems; the quantum mechanics (QM) of one degree of freedom. The subjects to be covered in this article include: general setting of discrete quantum mechanics with pure imaginary shifts and real shifts, shape invariance, exact solvability in the Heisenberg picture, dynamical symmetry algebras, unified theory of exactly and quasi-exactly solvable discrete quantum mechanics. Let me emphasise that the basic structure of integrability and methods of solutions are shared by the ordinary quantum mechanics (oQM) and the discrete quantum mechanics (dQM). For each specific subject, I will present basic formulas common to the ordinary and the discrete QM and then provide explicit forms of various quantities which are different in the oQM or the two types of dQM.

One underlying motivation of this series of works is to inaugurate a new theory for the Askey scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials (Andrews et al 1999; Nikiforov et al 1991; Ismail 2005; Koekoek & Swarttouw 1996) within the framework of quantum mechanics, so that its abundant concepts and methods would be available for the research of orthogonal polynomials. So far this project has been extremely successful. Every Hamiltonian system describing the hypergeometric orthogonal polynomial is shown to be shape invariant thus exactly solvable in the Schrödinger picture (Odake & Sasaki 2005a, 2008b, c). As a byproduct a universal Rodriques type formula (2.45) for all the hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials is obtained. It also turned out that all these Hamiltonian systems describing the hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials are exactly solvable in the Heisenberg picture (Odake & Sasaki 2006a, b). Namely, the Heisenberg equation for the sinusoidal coordinate $\eta(x)$ is exactly solvable. The positive/negative energy parts of the exact Heisenberg solution for $\eta(x)$ provide the annihilation/creation operators, which together with the Hamiltonian constitute the dynamical symmetry algebra of the exactly solvable system. The q-oscillator algebra is obtained as a dynamical symmetry algebra of the system for the q-Hermite polynomials (Odake & Sasaki 2008a). The relationship dictated by the annihilation/creation operators can be interpreted as quantum mechanical disguise of the three term recurrence relations of the orthogonal polynomials. Various coherent states as the eigenvectors of the annihilation operators are explicitly obtained (Odake & Sasaki 2006a, b, 2008c). This project also opens a rich frontier for various deformed polynomials in terms of modification of Crum's theorem in the ordinary QM (Krein 1957; Adler 1994) and for the discrete QM (Odake & Sasaki 2010b). The problem of determining the deformed weight functions can be solved without recourse to the cumbersome moment problems. One recent highlight of the project is the discovery of infinitely many exceptional orthogonal polynomials related to the Laguerre, Jacobi, continuous Hahn, Wilson and Askey-Wilson polynomials (Odake & Sasaki 2009c, d, e, 2010a, b; Ho, Odake & Sasaki 2009).

2. 'Discrete' Quantum Mechanics

Throughout this paper we consider one-dimensional quantum mechanics. The dynamical variables are the coordinate x and its conjugate momentum p, which is realised as a differential operator $p=-i\frac{d}{dx}\equiv -i\partial_x$. The discrete quantum mechan-

ics is a generalisation of quantum mechanics in which the Schrödinger equation is a difference equation instead of differential in the ordinary QM (Odake & Sasaki 2008b, c). In other words, the Hamiltonian contains the momentum operator in exponentiated forms $e^{\pm\beta p}$ which work as shift operators on the wavefunction

$$e^{\pm\beta p}\psi(x) = \psi(x \mp i\beta). \tag{2.1}$$

According to the two choices of the parameter β , either real or pure imaginary, we have two types of dQM; with (i) pure imaginary shifts $\beta = \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{\neq 0}$ (pdQM), or (ii) real shifts $\beta = i$ (rdQM), respectively. In the case of pdQM, $\psi(x \mp i\gamma)$, we require the wavefunction to be an analytic function of x with its domain including the real axis or a part of it on which the dynamical variable x is defined. For the rdQM, the difference equation gives constraints on wavefunctions only on equally spaced lattice points. Then we choose, after proper rescaling, the variable x to be a non-negative integer, with the total number either finite (N+1) or infinite.

Here we will consider the Hamiltonians having a finite or semi-infinite number of discrete energy levels only:

$$0 = \mathcal{E}(0) < \mathcal{E}(1) < \mathcal{E}(2) < \cdots . \tag{2.2}$$

The additive constant of the Hamiltonian is so chosen that the groundstate energy vanishes. That is, the Hamiltonian is *positive semi-definite*. It is a well known theorem in linear algebra that any positive semi-definite hermitian matrix can be factorised as a product of a certain matrix, say \mathcal{A} , and its hermitian conjugate \mathcal{A}^{\dagger} . As we will see shortly, the Hamiltonians of one-dimensional quantum mechanics have the same property, either the ordinary QM or the discrete QM both with the imaginary and real shifts.

(a) Hamiltonian

The Hamiltonian of one dimensional quantum mechanics has a simple form

$$\mathcal{H} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{A}^{\dagger} \mathcal{A}, \tag{2.3}$$

in which the operators \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}^{\dagger} are:

oQM
$$\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{dw(x)}{dx}, \quad \mathcal{A}^{\dagger} = -\frac{d}{dx} - \frac{dw(x)}{dx}, \quad w(x) \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (2.4)

pdQM
$$\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i \left(e^{\gamma p/2} \sqrt{V^*(x)} - e^{-\gamma p/2} \sqrt{V(x)} \right), \quad V(x), V^*(x) \in \mathbb{C},$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{\dagger} = -i \left(\sqrt{V(x)} e^{\gamma p/2} - \sqrt{V^*(x)} e^{-\gamma p/2} \right), \tag{2.5}$$

rdQM
$$\mathcal{A} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{B(x)} - e^{\partial} \sqrt{D(x)}, \quad B(x) \ge 0, \quad D(x) \ge 0,$$

 $\mathcal{A}^{\dagger} = \sqrt{B(x)} - \sqrt{D(x)} e^{-\partial}, \quad D(0) = 0, \quad B(N) = 0.$ (2.6)

Here $V^*(x)$ is an analytic function of x obtained from V(x) by the *-operation, which is defined as follows. If $f(x) = \sum_n a_n x^n$, $a_n \in \mathbb{C}$, then $f^*(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_n a_n^* x^n$, in which a_n^* is the complex conjugation of a_n . Obviously $f^{**}(x) = f(x)$ and $f(x)^* = f^*(x^*)$. If a function satisfies $f^* = f$, then it takes real values on the

real line. As mentioned above, $e^{\pm \beta p}$ are shift operators $e^{\pm \beta p} f(x) = f(x \mp i\beta)$, and the Schrödinger equation

$$\mathcal{H}\phi_n(x) = \mathcal{E}(n)\phi_n(x), \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{2.7}$$

is a second order differential (oQM) or difference equation (dQM).

Here are some explicit examples. From the ordinary QM. The prepotential w(x) parametrises the groundstate wavefunction $\phi_0(x)$ which has no node and can be chosen real and positive $\phi_0(x) = e^{w(x)}$. Then the above Hamiltonian takes the form $\mathcal{H} = p^2 + U(x)$, $U(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\partial_x w(x))^2 + \partial_x^2 w(x)$:

(i): Hermite
$$w(x) = -\frac{1}{2}x^2$$
, $-\infty < x < \infty$,
$$U(x) = x^2 - 1, \qquad \eta(x) = x, \qquad (2.8)$$

(ii): Laguerre
$$w(x) = -\frac{1}{2}x^2 + g \log x$$
, $g > 1$, $0 < x < \infty$,

$$U(x) = x^{2} + \frac{g(g-1)}{x^{2}} - 1 - 2g, \qquad \eta(x) = x^{2}, \tag{2.9}$$

(iii): Jacobi
$$w(x) = g \log \sin x + h \log \cos x, \quad g > 1, \ h > 1, \ 0 < x < \pi/2,$$

$$U(x) = \frac{g(g-1)}{\sin^2 x} + \frac{h(h-1)}{\cos^2 x} - (g+h)^2, \quad \eta(x) = \cos 2x. \quad (2.10)$$

From the discrete QM with pure imaginary shifts $(\beta = \gamma, 0 < q < 1)$:

(iv): cont. Hahn
$$V(x) = (a_1 + ix)(a_2 + ix),$$
 $Re(a_j) > 0, -\infty < x < \infty,$ $\gamma = 1,$ $\eta(x) = x,$ (2.11)

(v): Wilson
$$V(x) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{4} (a_j + ix)}{2ix(2ix+1)}, \quad \text{Re}(a_j) > 0, \quad 0 < x < \infty,$$
$$\gamma = 1, \quad \{a_1^*, a_2^*, a_3^*, a_4^*\} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\} \quad \text{(as a set)}, \quad \eta(x) = x^2,$$
$$(2.12)$$

(vi): Askey-Wilson
$$V(x) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{4} (1 - a_j e^{ix})}{(1 - e^{2ix})(1 - q e^{2ix})}, \quad |a_j| < 1, \quad 0 < x < \pi,$$

$$\gamma = \log q, \qquad \{a_1^*, a_2^*, a_3^*, a_4^*\} = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\} \quad \text{(as a set)}, \quad \eta(x) = \cos x.$$
(2.13)

From the discrete QM with real shifts $(\beta = i)$:

(vii): Hahn
$$B(x) = (x+a)(N-x), D(x) = x(b+N-x), a > 0, b > 0,$$

 $\eta(x) = x,$ (2.14)

(viii): Racah
$$B(x) = -\frac{(x+a)(x+b)(x+c)(x+d)}{(2x+d)(2x+1+d)},$$

$$D(x) = -\frac{(x+d-a)(x+d-b)(x+d-c)x}{(2x-1+d)(2x+d)},$$

$$c = -N, \quad a \ge b, \quad 0 < b < 1+d, \quad d > 0, \quad a > N+d,$$

$$\tilde{d} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a + b + c - d - 1, \qquad \eta(x) = x(x+d), \qquad (2.15)$$

(ix):
$$q$$
-Racah $B(x) = -\frac{(1 - aq^x)(1 - bq^x)(1 - cq^x)(1 - dq^x)}{(1 - dq^{2x})(1 - dq^{2x+1})}$, (2.16)

$$D(x) = -\tilde{d} \frac{(1 - a^{-1}dq^x)(1 - b^{-1}dq^x)(1 - c^{-1}dq^x)(1 - q^x)}{(1 - dq^{2x-1})(1 - dq^{2x})},$$

$$c = q^{-N}, \quad a \le b, \quad 0 < d < 1, \quad 0 < a < q^N d, \quad qd < b < 1,$$

$$\tilde{d} < q^{-1}, \quad \tilde{d} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} abcd^{-1}q^{-1}, \qquad \eta(x) = (q^{-x} - 1)(1 - dq^x). \quad (2.17)$$

In the above list, the names like the Hermite, \dots , q-Racah are the names of the eigenpolynomials of the corresponding Hamiltonian in the form

$$\phi_n(x) = \phi_0(x) P_n(\eta(x)), \tag{2.18}$$

in which $\eta(x)$ is called the *sinusoidal coordinate*. It is also given in the same list. For the definitions and various properties of the hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their q-versions in general, see (Koekoek & Swarttouw 1996) and (Odake & Sasaki 2008b, c) in connection with dQM.

The groundstate wavefunction $\phi_0(x)$ is determined as a zero mode of \mathcal{A} ,

$$\mathcal{A}\phi_0(x) = 0. \tag{2.19}$$

The similarity transformed Hamiltonian $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ in terms of the groundstate wavefunction $\phi_0(x)$ has a much simpler form than the original Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} :

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi_0(x)^{-1} \circ \mathcal{H} \circ \phi_0(x) = \varepsilon \Big(V_+(x) (e^{\beta p} - 1) + V_-(x) (e^{-\beta p} - 1) \Big)$$
 (2.20)

$$= \begin{cases} -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2\frac{dw(x)}{dx}\frac{d}{dx} & \text{oQM} \\ \left(V(x)(e^{\gamma p} - 1) + V^*(x)(e^{-\gamma p} - 1)\right) & \text{pdQM} \\ \left(B(x)(1 - e^{\partial}) + D(x)(1 - e^{-\partial})\right) & \text{pdQM} \end{cases}$$
(2.21)

It governs the differential (difference) equation of the polynomial eigenfunctions:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}P_n(\eta(x)) = \mathcal{E}(n)P_n(\eta(x)). \tag{2.22}$$

Obviously, the square of the groundstate wavefunction $\phi_0(x)^2$ provides the orthogonality weight function for the polynomials:

oQM & pdQM
$$\int_{x_1}^{x_2} \phi_0(x)^2 P_n(\eta(x)) P_n(\eta(x)) dx \propto \delta_{n m},$$
 (2.23)

rdQM
$$\sum_{x=0} \phi_0(x)^2 P_n(\eta(x)) P_n(\eta(x)) \propto \delta_{nm}. \tag{2.24}$$

Let me emphasise that the weight function, or $\phi_0(x)$ is determined as a solution of a first order differential (difference) equation, without recourse to a moment problem. This situation becomes crucially important when various deformations of orthogonal polynomials are considered. The explicit forms of the squared groundstate wavefunction (weight function) $\phi_0(x)^2$ for the above examples in pure imaginary shifts dQM are:

$$\phi_0(x)^2$$

$$= \begin{cases} \Gamma(a_1 + ix)\Gamma(a_2 + ix)\Gamma(a_1^* - ix)\Gamma(a_2^* - ix) & : \text{(iv) cont. Hahn} \\ (\Gamma(2ix)\Gamma(-2ix))^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{4} \Gamma(a_j + ix)\Gamma(a_j - ix) & : \text{(v) Wilson} \\ (e^{2ix}; q)_{\infty}(e^{-2ix}; q)_{\infty} \prod_{j=1}^{4} (a_j e^{ix}; q)_{\infty}^{-1} (a_j e^{-ix}; q)_{\infty}^{-1} & : \text{(vi) Askey-Wilson} \end{cases}$$

For the real shifts dQM, the zero-mode equation $\mathcal{A}\phi_0(x) = 0$ (2.19) is a two term recurrence relation, which can be solved elementarily by using the boundary con-

$$\phi_0(x)^2 = \prod_{y=0}^{x-1} \frac{B(y)}{D(y+1)}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{N!}{x! (N-x)!} \frac{(a)_x (b)_{N-x}}{(b)_N} & : (\text{vii}) \text{ Hahn} \\ \frac{(a,b,c,d)_x}{(1+d-a,1+d-b,1+d-c,1)_x} \frac{2x+d}{d} & : (\text{viii}) \text{ Racah} \\ \frac{(a,b,c,d;q)_x}{(a^{-1}dq,b^{-1}dq,c^{-1}dq,q;q)_x \tilde{d}^x} \frac{1-dq^{2x}}{1-d} & : (\text{ix}) q\text{-Racah} \end{cases}$$

$$(2.26)$$

 $\phi_0(x)^2 = e^{2w(x)}.$

(b) Intertwining Relations

Let us denote by $\mathcal{H}^{[0]}$ the original factorised Hamiltonian and by $\mathcal{H}^{[1]}$ its partner Hamiltonian by changing the order of \mathcal{A}^{\dagger} and \mathcal{A} :

$$\mathcal{H}^{[0]} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{A}^{\dagger} \mathcal{A}, \qquad \mathcal{H}^{[1]} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}^{\dagger}.$$
 (2.28)

One simple and most important consequence of the factorisation is the intertwining relations:

$$\mathcal{A}\mathcal{H}^{[0]} = \mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}^{[1]}\mathcal{A}, \qquad \mathcal{A}^{\dagger}\mathcal{H}^{[1]} = \mathcal{A}^{\dagger}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}^{\dagger} = \mathcal{H}^{[0]}\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}, \tag{2.29}$$

which is equally valid in the ordinary and the discrete QM. The pair of Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}^{[0]}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{[1]}$ are essentially iso-spectral and their eigenfunctions $\{\phi_n^{[0]}(x)\}$ and $\{\phi_n^{[1]}(x)\}\$ are related by the Darboux-Crum transformations (Darboux 1882; Crum 1955):

$$\mathcal{H}^{[0]}\phi_n^{[0]}(x) = \mathcal{E}(n)\phi_n^{[0]}(x), \qquad n = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{2.30}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^{[1]}\phi_n^{[1]}(x) = \mathcal{E}(n)\phi_n^{[1]}(x), \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (2.31)

$$\begin{aligned}
& p_n^{[0]}(x) = \mathcal{E}(n)\phi_n^{[0]}(x), & n = 0, 1, \dots, \\
& p_n^{[1]}(x) = \mathcal{E}(n)\phi_n^{[1]}(x), & n = 1, 2, \dots, \\
& p_n^{[1]}(x) = \mathcal{A}\phi_n^{[0]}(x), & \phi_n^{[0]}(x) = \frac{\mathcal{A}^{\dagger}}{\mathcal{E}(n)}\phi_n^{[1]}(x), & n = 1, 2, \dots
\end{aligned} (2.32)$$

The partner Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{[1]}$ has the lowest eigenvalue $\mathcal{E}(1)$. If the groundstate energy $\mathcal{E}(1)$ is subtracted from the partner Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{[1]}$, it is again positive

semi-definite and can be factorised in terms of new operators $\mathcal{A}^{[1]}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{[1]\dagger}$:

$$\mathcal{H}^{[1]} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{A}^{[1]\dagger} \mathcal{A}^{[1]} + \mathcal{E}(1). \tag{2.33}$$

By changing the orders of $\mathcal{A}^{[1]\dagger}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{[1]}$, a new Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{[2]}$ is defined:

$$\mathcal{H}^{[2]} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{A}^{[1]} \mathcal{A}^{[1]\dagger} + \mathcal{E}(1). \tag{2.34}$$

These two Hamiltonians are intertwined by $\mathcal{A}^{[1]}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{[1]\dagger}$:

$$\mathcal{A}^{[1]}(\mathcal{H}^{[1]} - \mathcal{E}(1)) = \mathcal{A}^{[1]}\mathcal{A}^{[1]\dagger}\mathcal{A}^{[1]} = (\mathcal{H}^{[2]} - \mathcal{E}(1))\mathcal{A}^{[1]}, \tag{2.35}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{[1]\dagger}(\mathcal{H}^{[2]} - \mathcal{E}(1)) = \mathcal{A}^{[1]\dagger}\mathcal{A}^{[1]}\mathcal{A}^{[1]\dagger} = (\mathcal{H}^{[1]} - \mathcal{E}(1))\mathcal{A}^{[1]\dagger}. \tag{2.36}$$

The iso-spectrality of the two Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}^{[1]}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{[2]}$ and the relationship among their eigenfunctions follow as before:

$$\mathcal{H}^{[2]}\phi_n^{[2]}(x) = \mathcal{E}(n)\phi_n^{[2]}(x),$$
 $n = 2, 3, \dots, (2.37)$

$$\phi_n^{[2]}(x) = \mathcal{A}^{[1]}\phi_n^{[1]}(x), \quad \phi_n^{[1]}(x) = \frac{\mathcal{A}^{[1]\dagger}}{\mathcal{E}(n) - \mathcal{E}(1)}\phi_n^{[2]}(x), \qquad n = 2, 3, \dots$$
 (2.38)

This process can go on indefinitely by successively deleting the lowest lying energy level:

$$\mathcal{H}^{[s]}\phi_n^{[s]}(x) = \mathcal{E}(n)\phi_n^{[s]}(x),$$
 $n = s, s + 1, \dots, (2.39)$

$$\phi_n^{[s]}(x) = \mathcal{A}^{[s-1]}\phi_n^{[s-1]}(x), \quad \phi_n^{[s-1]}(x) = \frac{\mathcal{A}^{[s-1]\dagger}}{\mathcal{E}(n) - \mathcal{E}(s-1)}\phi_n^{[s]}(x), \tag{2.40}$$

for the ordinary QM (Crum 1955) and for the discrete QM as well (Gaillard & Matveev 2009; Odake & Sasaki 2009b). The determinant expressions of the eigenfunctions $\phi_n^{[s]}(x)$ are also known for the ordinary QM (the Wronskian) and for the discrete QM as well (the Casoratian).

By deleting a finite number of energy levels from the original Hamiltonian systems $\mathcal{H}^{[0]}$ and $\{\phi_n^{[0]}(x)\}$, instead of the successive lowest lying levels, modification of Crum's theorem provides the essentially iso-spectral modified Hamiltonian $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ and its eigenfunctions $\{\bar{\phi}_n(x)\}$. The set of deleted energy levels $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, \ldots, d_\ell\}$ must satisfy the conditions

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\ell} (m - d_j) \ge 0, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \tag{2.41}$$

in order to guarantee the hermiticity (self-adjointness) of the modified Hamiltonian $\bar{\mathcal{H}}$ and the non-singularity of the eigenfunctions

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}}\bar{\phi}_n(x) = \mathcal{E}(n)\bar{\phi}_n(x), \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \backslash \mathcal{D}.$$
 (2.42)

Again the Wronskian (oQM) and Casoratian (pdQM) expressions of the eigenfunctions are known. For the ordinary QM, these results are known for some time (Krein 1957; Adler 1994). For the dQM with pure imaginary shifts, the structure of the modified Crum's theory was clarified only very recently (García-Gutiérrez, Odake & Sasaki 2010). Starting from an exactly solvable Hamiltonian, one can construct infinitely many variants of exactly solvable Hamiltonians and their eigenfunctions by Adler's and García et al's methods. The resulting systems are, however, not shape invariant, even if the starting system is. For the dQM with real shifts, some partial results are reported in (Yermolayeva & Zhedanov 1999).

(c) Shape invariance

Shape invariance (Gendenshtein 1983) is a sufficient condition for the exact solvability in the Schrödinger picture. Combined with Crum's theorem (Crum 1955), or the factorisation method (Infeld & Hull 1951) or the so-called supersymmetric quantum mechanics (Cooper, Khare & Sukhatme 1995), the totality of the discrete eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions can be easily obtained. It was shown by Odake and Sasaki that the concept of shape invariance works equally well in the discrete QM with the pure imaginary shifts (Odake & Sasaki 2005a, 2008c) as well as the real shifts (Odake & Sasaki 2008b), providing quantum mechanical explanation of the solvability of the Askey scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials in general.

In many cases the Hamiltonian contains some parameter(s), $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ...)$. Here we write parameter dependence explicitly, $\mathcal{H}(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$, $\mathcal{E}(n; \lambda)$, $\phi_n(x; \lambda)$, etc, since it is the central issue. The shape invariance condition is

$$\mathcal{A}(\lambda)\mathcal{A}(\lambda)^{\dagger} = \kappa \mathcal{A}(\lambda + \delta)^{\dagger} \mathcal{A}(\lambda + \delta) + \mathcal{E}(1; \lambda), \tag{2.43}$$

where κ is a real positive parameter and $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ is the shift of the parameters. In other words $\mathcal{H}^{[0]}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{[1]}$ have the same shape, only the parameters are shifted by $\boldsymbol{\delta}$. The energy spectrum and the excited state wavefunction are determined by the data of the groundstate wavefunction $\phi_0(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ and the energy of the first excited state $\mathcal{E}(1;\boldsymbol{\lambda})$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}(n; \lambda) = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \kappa^s \mathcal{E}(1; \lambda^{[s]}), \qquad \lambda^{[s]} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda + s\delta, \qquad (2.44)$$

$$\phi_n(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \propto \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[0]})^{\dagger} \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[1]})^{\dagger} \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[2]})^{\dagger} \cdots \mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[n-1]})^{\dagger} \phi_0(x; \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{[n]}). \tag{2.45}$$

The above formula for the eigenfunctions $\phi_n(x; \lambda)$ can be considered as the universal Rodrigues type formula for the Askey scheme of hypergeometric polynomials and their q-analogues. For the explicit forms of the Rodrigues type formula for each polynomial, one only has to substitute the explicit forms of the operator $\mathcal{A}(\lambda)$ and the groundstate wavefunction $\phi_0(x; \lambda)$. For the nine explicit examples given in (2.8)–(2.17), it is straightforward to verify the shape invariance conditions (2.43) and the energy (2.44) and the eigenfunction (2.45) formulas. For the ordinary QM, the above shape invariance condition (2.43) becomes a relation among the prepotentials $(\kappa = 1)$:

$$\left(\frac{dw(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{dx}\right)^2 - \frac{d^2w(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda})}{dx^2} = \left(\frac{dw(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})}{dx}\right)^2 + \frac{d^2w(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})}{dx^2} + \mathcal{E}(1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}), (2.46)$$

and the data for the three cases (i)-(iii) are

(i): Hermite
$$\lambda = \phi$$
 (null), $\mathcal{E}(n) = 2n$, (2.47)

(ii): Laguerre
$$\lambda = g$$
, $\delta = 1$, $\mathcal{E}(n; \lambda) = 4n$, (2.48)

(iii): Jacobi
$$\lambda = (g, h), \quad \delta = (1, 1), \quad \mathcal{E}(n; \lambda) = 4n(n + g + h).$$
 (2.49)

For the discrete QM with the pure imaginary shifts, the above shape invariance condition (2.43) is rewritten as:

$$V(x - i\frac{\gamma}{2}; \lambda)V^*(x - i\frac{\gamma}{2}; \lambda) = \kappa^2 V(x; \lambda + \delta)V^*(x - i\gamma; \lambda + \delta), \qquad (2.50)$$

$$V(x+i\frac{\gamma}{2};\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + V^*(x-i\frac{\gamma}{2};\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = \kappa(V(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta}) + V^*(x;\boldsymbol{\lambda}+\boldsymbol{\delta})) - \mathcal{E}(1;\boldsymbol{\lambda}). \quad (2.51)$$

The data for the three cases in pdQM (iv)-(vi) are (Odake & Sasaki 2005a, 2008c):

(iv): cont. Hahn
$$\lambda = (a_1, a_2),$$
 $\delta = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}),$ $\kappa = 1,$ $\mathcal{E}(n; \lambda) = 4n(n+b_1-1),$ $b_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_1 + a_2 + a_1^* + a_2^*,$ (2.52)

(v): Wilson $\lambda = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ $\delta = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}),$ $\kappa = 1,$ $\mathcal{E}(n; \lambda) = 4n(n+b_1-1),$ $b_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4,$ (2.53)

(vi): Askey-Wilson $q^{\lambda} = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4),$ $\delta = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}),$ $\kappa = q^{-1},$ $\mathcal{E}(n; \lambda) = (q^{-n} - 1)(1 - b_4q^{n-1}),$ $b_4 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_1a_2a_3a_4.$ (2.54)

For the discrete QM with the real shifts, the shape invariance (2.43) is equivalent to the following set of two equations:

$$B(x+1; \lambda)D(x+1; \lambda) = \kappa^2 B(x; \lambda + \delta)D(x+1; \lambda + \delta), \qquad (2.55)$$

$$B(x; \lambda) + D(x+1; \lambda) = \kappa (B(x; \lambda + \delta) + D(x; \lambda + \delta)) + \mathcal{E}(1; \lambda). \tag{2.56}$$

The data for the three cases in rdQM (vii)–(ix) are (Odake & Sasaki 2008b):

(vii): Hahn
$$\lambda = (a, b, N),$$
 $\delta = (1, 1, -1)$ $\kappa = 1,$ $\mathcal{E}(n; \lambda) = 4n(n + a + b - 1),$ (2.57)
(viii): Racah $\lambda = (a, b, d, N)$ $\delta = (1, 1, 1, -1),$ $\kappa = 1,$ $\mathcal{E}(n; \lambda) = 4n(n + \tilde{d}),$ (2.58)
(ix): q -Racah $q^{\lambda} = (a, b, d, q^{-N}),$ $\delta = (1, 1, 1, 1),$ $\kappa = q^{-1},$ $\mathcal{E}(n; \lambda) = (q^{-n} - 1)(1 - \tilde{d}q^{n-1}).$ (2.59)

It should be stressed that the size of the Hamiltonian (N in the finite case) decreases by one, since the lowest eigenstate is removed.

(d) Solvability in the Heisenberg Picture

All the Hamiltonian systems describing the hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials are exactly solvable in the Heisenberg picture, too (Odake & Sasaki 2006a, b). To be more precise, the Heisenberg operator of the sinusoidal operator $\eta(x)$

$$e^{it\mathcal{H}}\eta(x)e^{-it\mathcal{H}}$$
 (2.60)

can be evaluated in a closed form. The sufficient condition for that is the closure relation

$$[\mathcal{H}, [\mathcal{H}, \eta(x)]] = \eta(x) R_0(\mathcal{H}) + [\mathcal{H}, \eta(x)] R_1(\mathcal{H}) + R_{-1}(\mathcal{H}). \tag{2.61}$$

Here $R_i(y)$ are polynomials in y. It is easy to see that the cubic commutator $[\mathcal{H}, [\mathcal{H}, \eta(x)]] \equiv (\mathrm{ad}\mathcal{H})^3 \eta(x)$ is reduced to $\eta(x)$ and $[\mathcal{H}, \eta(x)]$ with \mathcal{H} depending coefficients:

$$(\mathrm{ad}\mathcal{H})^3 \eta(x) = [\mathcal{H}, \eta(x)] R_0(\mathcal{H}) + [\mathcal{H}, [\mathcal{H}, \eta(x)]] R_1(\mathcal{H})$$

$$= \eta(x) R_0(\mathcal{H}) R_1(\mathcal{H}) + [\mathcal{H}, \eta(x)] (R_1(\mathcal{H})^2 + R_0(\mathcal{H})) + R_{-1}(\mathcal{H}) R_1(\mathcal{H}),$$
 (2.62)

in which the definition $(\operatorname{ad}\mathcal{H})X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\mathcal{H},X]$ is used. It is trivial to see that all the higher commutators $(\operatorname{ad}\mathcal{H})^n\eta(x)$ can also be reduced to $\eta(x)$ and $[\mathcal{H},\eta(x)]$ with \mathcal{H} depending coefficients. Thus we arrive at

$$e^{it\mathcal{H}}\eta(x)e^{-it\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(it)^n}{n!} (\operatorname{ad} \mathcal{H})^n \eta(x),$$

$$= [\mathcal{H}, \eta(x)] \frac{e^{i\alpha_+(\mathcal{H})t} - e^{i\alpha_-(\mathcal{H})t}}{\alpha_+(\mathcal{H}) - \alpha_-(\mathcal{H})} - R_{-1}(\mathcal{H})/R_0(\mathcal{H})$$

$$+ (\eta(x) + R_{-1}(\mathcal{H})/R_0(\mathcal{H})) \frac{-\alpha_-(\mathcal{H})e^{i\alpha_+(\mathcal{H})t} + \alpha_+(\mathcal{H})e^{i\alpha_-(\mathcal{H})t}}{\alpha_+(\mathcal{H}) - \alpha_-(\mathcal{H})}, \qquad (2.63)$$

in which the two "frequencies" $\alpha_{\pm}(\mathcal{H})$ are

$$\alpha_{\pm}(\mathcal{H}) = (R_1(\mathcal{H}) \pm \sqrt{R_1(\mathcal{H})^2 + 4R_0(\mathcal{H})})/2,$$
 (2.64)

$$\alpha_{+}(\mathcal{H}) + \alpha_{-}(\mathcal{H}) = R_1(\mathcal{H}), \quad \alpha_{+}(\mathcal{H})\alpha_{-}(\mathcal{H}) = -R_0(\mathcal{H}).$$
 (2.65)

The annihilation and creation operators $a^{(\pm)}$ are extracted from this exact Heisenberg operator solution:

$$e^{it\mathcal{H}}\eta(x)e^{-it\mathcal{H}} = a^{(+)}e^{i\alpha_{+}(\mathcal{H})t} + a^{(-)}e^{i\alpha_{-}(\mathcal{H})t} - R_{-1}(\mathcal{H})R_{0}(\mathcal{H})^{-1}, \qquad (2.66)$$

$$a^{(\pm)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \pm \Big([\mathcal{H}, \eta(x)] - (\eta(x) + R_{-1}(\mathcal{H})R_{0}(\mathcal{H})^{-1} \Big) \alpha_{\mp}(\mathcal{H}) \Big) \Big(\alpha_{+}(\mathcal{H}) - \alpha_{-}(\mathcal{H}) \Big)^{-1}$$

$$= \pm \Big(\alpha_{+}(\mathcal{H}) - \alpha_{-}(\mathcal{H}) \Big)^{-1} \Big([\mathcal{H}, \eta(x)] + \alpha_{\pm}(\mathcal{H}) \Big(\eta(x) + R_{-1}(\mathcal{H})R_{0}(\mathcal{H})^{-1} \Big) \Big). \qquad (2.67)$$

The energy spectrum is determined by the over-determined recursion relations $\mathcal{E}(n+1) = \mathcal{E}(n) + \alpha_+(\mathcal{E}(n))$ and $\mathcal{E}(n-1) = \mathcal{E}(n) + \alpha_-(\mathcal{E}(n))$ with $\mathcal{E}(0) = 0$, and the excited state wavefunctions $\{\phi_n(x)\}$ are obtained by successive action of the creation operator $a^{(+)}$ on the groundstate wavefunction $\phi_0(x)$. This is the exact solvability in the Heisenberg picture.

The data for the three cases in oQM (i)-(iii) are

(i): Hermite
$$R_0(y) = 4$$
, $R_1(y) = R_{-1}(y) = 0$, (2.68)

(ii): Laguerre
$$R_0(y) = 16$$
, $R_1(y) = 0$, $R_{-1}(y) = -8(y + 2g + 1)$, (2.69)

(iii): Jacobi
$$R_0(y) = 16(y + (g+h)^2), \quad R_1(y) = 0,$$

$$R_{-1}(y) = 16(g-h)(g+h-1). \quad (2.70)$$

The data for the three cases in pdQM (iv)-(vi) are (Odake & Sasaki 2005a, 2008c):

(iv): cont. Hahn
$$R_0(y) = 4y + 4\operatorname{Re}(a_1 + a_2)\left(\operatorname{Re}(a_1 + a_2) - 1\right), \quad R_1(y) = 2,$$

$$R_{-1}(y) = 2\operatorname{Im}(a_1 + a_2)y + 4\left(\operatorname{Re}(a_1 + a_2) - 1\right)\operatorname{Im}(a_1a_2), \quad (2.71)$$
(v): Wilson $R_0(y) = 4y + b_1(b_1 - 2), \qquad R_1(y) = 2,$

$$R_{-1}(y) = -2y^2 + (b_1 - 2b_2)y + (2 - b_1)b_3,$$

$$b_{2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq 4} a_{j} a_{k}, \quad b_{3} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{1 \leq j < k < l \leq 4} a_{j} a_{k} a_{l}, \tag{2.72}$$
(vi): Askey-Wilson $R_{1}(y) = (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2} y', \quad y' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y + 1 + q^{-1} b_{4},$

$$R_{0}(y) = (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2} (y'^{2} - (1 + q^{-1})^{2} b_{4}),$$

$$R_{-1}(y) = -\frac{1}{2} (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2} ((b_{1} + q^{-1} b_{3}) y' - (1 + q^{-1}) (b_{3} + q^{-1} b_{1} b_{4})),$$

$$b_{1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^{4} a_{j}, \quad b_{3} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{1 \leq j < k < l \leq 4} a_{j} a_{k} a_{l}. \tag{2.73}$$

The data for the three cases in rdQM (vii)–(ix) are (Odake & Sasaki 2008b):

(vii): Hahn
$$R_0(y) = 4y + (a+b-2)(a+b)$$
, $R_1(y) = 2$, $R_{-1}(y) = -(2N-a+b)y - a(a+b-2)N$, (2.74)
(viii): Racah $R_0(y) = 4y + \tilde{d}^2 - 1$, $R_1(y) = 2$, $R_{-1}(y) = 2y^2 + \left(2(ab+bc+ca) - (1+d)(1+\tilde{d})\right)y + abc(\tilde{d}-1)$, (2.75)
(ix): q -Racah $R_0(y) = (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})^2(y'^2 - (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} + q^{\frac{1}{2}})^2\tilde{d})$, $y' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y + 1 + \tilde{d}$, $R_1(y) = (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})^2y'$, $R_{-1}(y) = (q^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})^2\left((1+d)y'^2 - (a+b+c+d+\tilde{d}+(ab+bc+ca)q^{-1})y' + ((1-a)(1-b)(1-c)(1-\tilde{d}q^{-1}) + (a+b+c-1-d\tilde{d}+(ab+bc+ca)q^{-1})\right)(1+\tilde{d})$. (2.76)

(e) Dual Closure Relation

The dual closure relation has the same forms as the closure relation (2.61) with the roles of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} and the sinusoidal coordinate $\eta(x)$ exchanged:

$$[\eta, [\eta, \mathcal{H}]] = \mathcal{H} R_0^{\text{dual}}(\eta) + [\eta, \mathcal{H}] R_1^{\text{dual}}(\eta) + R_{-1}^{\text{dual}}(\eta), \tag{2.77}$$

in which

$$R_1^{\text{dual}}(\eta(x)) = (\eta(x-i\beta) - \eta(x)) + (\eta(x+i\beta) - \eta(x)), \tag{2.78}$$

$$R_0^{\text{dual}}(\eta(x)) = -(\eta(x - i\beta) - \eta(x))(\eta(x + i\beta) - \eta(x)), \tag{2.79}$$

$$R_{-1}^{\text{dual}}(\eta(x)) = \varepsilon (V_{+}(x) + V_{-}(x)) R_{0}^{\text{dual}}(\eta(x)). \tag{2.80}$$

The dual closure relation is the characteristic feature shared by all the 'Hamiltonians' $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ which map a polynomial in $\eta(x)$ into another. Therefore its dynamical contents are not so constraining as the closure relation, except for the real shifts (the discrete variable) exactly solvable (L=2) case, where the closure relation and the dual closure relations are on the same footing and they form a dynamical symmetry algebra which is sometimes called the Askey-Wilson algebra (Zhedanov 1992; Granovskii et al 1992; Terwilliger 2004; Koornwinder 2008; Odake & Sasaki 2008b).

3. Unified Theory of Exact and Quasi-Exact Solvability

In the previous section various examples of exactly solvable systems are explored and shown that the two sufficient conditions for exact solvability, the shape invariance and the closure relation, are satisfied by them. However, these two sufficient conditions do not tell how to build exactly solvable models. In this section I present a simple theory of constructing exactly solvable Hamiltonians in discrete QM. It covers all the known examples of exactly solvable discrete QM with both pure imaginary and real shifts and it predicts several new ones to be explored. The theory is general enough to generate quasi-exactly solvable Hamiltonians in the same manner. The quasi-exact solvability means, in contrast to the exact solvability, that only a finite number of energy eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenfunctions can be obtained exactly. Many examples are known in the ordinary QM (Morozov et al 1990; Ushveridze 1994) but only a few are known in dQM (Wiegmann & Zabrodin 1994, 1995). This unified theory also incorporates the known examples of quasi-exactly solvable Hamiltonians (Odake & Sasaki 2007; Sasaki 2007, 2008). A new type of quasi-exactly solvable Hamiltonians is constructed. The present approach reveals the common structure underlying the exactly and quasi-exactly solvable theories. This section is a brief introduction to a recent work by Odake and Sasaki 2009a.

In the following I will take the similarity transformed Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} (2.20) instead of \mathcal{H} as the starting point. That is, I reverse the argument and construct directly the 'Hamiltonian' \mathcal{H} (2.21) based on the *sinusoidal coordinate* $\eta(x)$. The general strategy is to construct the 'Hamiltonian' \mathcal{H} in such a way that it maps a polynomial in $\eta(x)$ into another:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{V}_n \subseteq \mathcal{V}_{n+L-2} \subset \mathcal{V}_{\infty}.$$
 (3.1)

Here \mathcal{V}_n $(n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{V}_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Span}[1, \eta(x), \dots, \eta(x)^n], \qquad \mathcal{V}_\infty \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathcal{V}_n.$$
 (3.2)

(a) potential functions

The general form of the 'Hamiltonian' $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ mapping a polynomial in $\eta(x)$ into another is achieved by the following form of the potential functions $V_{\pm}(x)$:

$$V_{\pm}(x) = \frac{\widetilde{V}_{\pm}(x)}{\left(\eta(x \mp i\beta) - \eta(x)\right)\left(\eta(x \mp i\beta) - \eta(x \pm i\beta)\right)},$$
(3.3)

$$\widetilde{V}_{\pm}(x) = \sum_{\substack{k,l \ge 0\\k+l \le L}} v_{k,l} \, \eta(x)^k \eta(x \mp i\beta)^l, \tag{3.4}$$

where L is a natural number indicating the degree of $\eta(x)$ in $\widetilde{V}_{\pm}(x)$ and $v_{k,l}$ are real constants, with the constraint $\sum_{k+l=L} v_{k,l}^2 \neq 0$. It is important that the same $v_{k,l}$

appears in both $\widetilde{V}_{\pm}(x)$. The 'Hamiltonian' $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ with the above $V_{\pm}(x)$ maps a degree n polynomial in $\eta(x)$ to a degree n+L-2 polynomial.

The essential part of the formula (3.3) is the denominators. They have the same form as the generic formula for the coefficients of the three term recurrence relations

of the orthogonal polynomials, (4.52) and (4.53) in (Odake & Sasaki 2008b). The translation rules are the *duality* correspondence itself, (3.14)–(3.18) in (Odake & Sasaki 2008b):

$$\mathcal{E}(n) \to \eta(x), \qquad -A_n \to V_+(x), \qquad -C_n \to V_-(x),$$

$$\alpha_+(\mathcal{E}(n)) \to \eta(x - i\beta) - \eta(x), \quad \alpha_-(\mathcal{E}(n)) \to \eta(x + i\beta) - \eta(x). \tag{3.5}$$

Some of the parameters $v_{k,l}$ in (3.4) are redundant. It is sufficient to keep $v_{k,l}$ with l=0,1. The remaining 2L+1 parameters $v_{k,l}$ $(k+l \le L, l=0,1)$ are independent, with one of which corresponds to the overall normalisation of the Hamiltonian.

The L=2 case is exactly solvable. Since the Hamiltonian of the polynomial space $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ is an upper triangular matrix, its eigenvalues and eigenvectors are easily obtained explicitly. For the solutions of a full quantum mechanical problem, however, one needs the square-integrable groundstate wavefunction $\phi_0(x)$ (2.19), which is essential for the existence of the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} and the verification of its hermiticity. These conditions would usually restrict the ranges of the parameters $v_{0,0},\ldots,v_{2,0}$. It is easy to verify that the explicit examples of the potential functions V(x), $V^*(x)$ and B(x), D(x) in (2.11)–(2.17) are simply reproduced by proper choices of the parameters $\{v_{k,l}\}$. It should be stressed that the above form of the potential function (3.3)–(3.4) provides a unified proof of the shape invariance relation (2.43), the closure relation (2.61) and the dual closure relation (2.77) in the $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ scheme.

The higher $L \geq 3$ cases are obviously non-solvable. Among them, the tame non-solvability of L=3 and 4 can be made quasi-exactly solvable (QES) by adding suitable compensation terms. This is a simple generalisation of the method of Sasaki & Takasaki 2001 for multi-particle QES in the ordinary QM. For a given positive integer M, let us try to find a QES 'Hamiltonian' $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$, or more precisely its modification $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}'$, having an invariant polynomial subspace \mathcal{V}_M :

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}'\mathcal{V}_M \subseteq \mathcal{V}_M.$$
 (3.6)

For L=3, $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}'$ is defined by adding one single compensation term of degree one

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} - e_0(M)\eta(x),$$
 (3.7)

and we have achieved the quasi-exact solvability $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}'\mathcal{V}_M \subseteq \mathcal{V}_M$. Known discrete QES examples belong to this class (Sasaki 2007, 2008).

For L=4 case, $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}'$ is defined by adding a linear and a quadratic in $\eta(x)$ compensation terms to the Hamiltonian $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$:

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} - e_0(M)\eta(x)^2 - e_1(M)\eta(x),$$
(3.8)

and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}'\eta(x)^M \in \mathcal{V}_M$. This type of QES theory is new.

Acknowledgements

R. S. is supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, No.22540186.

References

- Adler, V. É. 1994 A modification of Crum's method. Theor. Math. Phys. 101 1381-1386.
- Andrews, G. E. Askey, R. & Roy, R. 1999 Special Functions, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, Cambridge.
- Cooper, F. Khare, A. & Sukhatme, U. 1995 Supersymmetry and quantum mechanics. Phys. Rep. 251 267-385.
- Crum, M. M. 1955 Associated Sturm-Liouville systems. Quart. J. Math. 6 121-127.
- Darboux, G. 1882 Sur une proposition relative aux équations linéaires. C. R. Acad. Paris 94 1456-1459.
- Gaillard, P. & Matveev, V.B. 2009 Wronskian and Casorati determinant representation for Darboux-Pöschel-Teller potentials and their difference extensions. J. Phys. A42 404009.
- García-Gutiérrez, L., Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2010 Modification of Crum's theorem for 'discrete' quantum mechanics. YITP-10-15, arXiv:1004.0289[math-ph].
- Gendenshtein, L. E. 1983 Derivation of exact spectra of the Schroedinger equation by means of supersymmetry. *JETP Lett.* **38** 356-359.
- Granovskii, Ya.I. Lutzenko I.M. & Zhedanov, A. 1992 Mutual integrability, quadratic algebras, and dynamical symmetry. *Ann. Phys.* **217** 1-20.
- Ho, C.-L., Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2009 Properties of the exceptional (X_{ℓ}) Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials. YITP-09-70, arXiv:0912.5447.
- Infeld, L. & Hull, T. E. 1951 The factorization method. Rev. Mod. Phys. 23 21-68.
- Ismail, M. E. H. 2005 Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, Cambridge.
- Koekoek, R. & Swarttouw, R.F. 1996 The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q-analogue. arXiv:math.CA/9602214.
- Koornwinder, T. H. 2008 Zhedanov's algebra AW(3) and the double affine Hecke algebra in the rank one case. II. The spherical subalgebra. SIGMA 4 052 (17 pages). (DOI 10.3842/SIGMA.2008.052).
- Krein, M. G. 1957 On continuous analogue of Christoffel's formula in orthogonal polynomial theory. *Doklady Acad. Nauk. CCCP* **113** 970-973.
- Morozov, A. Y., Perelomov, A. M., Rosly, A. A., Shifman, M. A. & Turbiner, A. V. 1990 Quasiexactly solvable quantal problems: one-dimensional analog of rational conformal field theories. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A5** 803-832.
- Nikiforov, A.F., Suslov, S.K. & Uvarov, V.B. 1991 Classical orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2005a Shape invariant potentials in 'discrete' quantum mechanics. J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 12 Suppl. 1 507-521.
- Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2006a Unified theory of annihilation-creation operators for solvable ('discrete') quantum mechanics. *J. Math. Phys.* **47** 102102 (33 pages). (DOI: 10.1063/1.2349485).
- Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2006b Exact solution in the Heisenberg picture and annihilation-creation operators. *Phys. Lett.* **B641** 112-117. (DOI 10.1016/j.physletb.2006.08.017).
- Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2007 Multi-particle quasi exactly solvable difference equations. *J. Math. Phys.* 48 122105 (8 pages). (DOI 10.1063/1.2818561).
- Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2008a q-oscillator from the q-Hermite polynomial. *Phys. Lett.* **B663** 141-145. (DOI 10.1016/j.physletb.2008.03.043).
- Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2008b Orthogonal polynomials from Hermitian matrices. J. Math. Phys. 49 053503 (43 pages). (DOI 10.1063/1.2898695).

- Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2008c Exactly solvable 'discrete' quantum mechanics; shape invariance, Heisenberg solutions, annihilation-creation operators and coherent states. *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **119** 663-700. (DOI 10.1143/PTP.119.663).
- Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2009a Unified theory of exactly and quasi-exactly solvable 'discrete' quantum mechanics: I. Formulation. arXiv:0903.2604 [math-ph]
- Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2009b Crum's theorem for 'discrete' quantum mechanics. Prog. Theor. Phys. 122 1067-1079. (DOI 10.1143/PTP.122.1067).
- Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2009c Infinitely many shape invariant potentials and new orthogonal polynomials. *Phys. Lett.* **B679** 414-417. (DOI 10.1016/j.physletb.2009.08.004).
- Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2009d Infinitely many shape invariant discrete quantum mechanical systems and new exceptional orthogonal polynomials related to the Wilson and the Askey-Wilson polynomials. *Phys. Lett.* **B682** (2009) 130-136. (DOI 10.1016/j.physletb.2009.10.078).
- Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2009e Another set of infinitely many exceptional (X_{ℓ}) Laguerre polynomials. *Phys. Lett.* **B684** (2009) 173-176. (DOI 10.1016/j.physletb.2009.12.062).
- Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2010a Infinitely many shape invariant potentials and cubic identities of the Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials. *J. Math. Phys.* **51** April issue in press.
- Odake, S. & Sasaki, R. 2010b Exceptional Askey-Wilson type polynomials through Darboux-Crum transformations. YITP-10-19, arXiv:1004.0544 [math-ph]
- Sasaki, R. 1979a Soliton equations and pseudospherical surfaces. *Nucl. Phys.* **B154** 343-357.
- Sasaki, R. 1979b Geometrization of soliton equations. Phys. Lett. A71 390-392.
- Sasaki, R. 1979c Pseudopotentials for the general AKNS system. Phys. Lett. A73 77-80.
- Sasaki, R. 1980a Geometric approach to soliton equations. Proc. Roy. Soc. A373 373-384.
- Sasaki, R. & Bullough, R. K. 1980 Geometry of the AKNS-ZS inverse scattering scheme. in Lect. Notes in Phys. 120 314-337.
- Sasaki, R. & Bullough, R. K. 1981 Geometric theory of local and nonlocal conservation laws for the sine-Gordon equation. Proc. Roy. Soc. A376 401-433.
- Sasaki, R. 2007 Quasi exactly solvable difference equations. *J. Math. Phys.* **48** 122104 (11 pages). (DOI 10.1063/1.2818560).
- Sasaki, R. 2008 New quasi exactly solvable difference equation. *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **15** Suppl. 3 373-384.
- Sasaki, R. & Takasaki, K. 2001 Quantum Inozemtsev model, quasi-exact solvability and \mathcal{N} -fold supersymmetry. J. Phys. **A34** 9533-9553, Corrigendum J. Phys. **A34** (2001) 10335. (DOI 10.1088/0305-4470/34/44/313).
- Terwilliger, P. 2004 Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other; an algebraic approach to the Askey scheme of orthogonal polynomials. Lecture notes for the summer school on orthogonal polynomials and special functions, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Leganes, Spain, July 8-July 18, 2004. arXiv:math.QA/0408390.
- Ushveridze, A.G. 1988 Exact solutions of one- and multi-dimensional Schrödinger equations. Sov. Phys.-Lebedev Inst. Rep. 2 50, 54-58.
- Ushveridze, A. G. 1994 Quasi-exactly solvable models in quantum mechanics. IOP, Bristol. Wiegmann, P. B. & Zabrodin, A. V. 1994 Bethe-ansatz for Bloch electron in magnetic field. Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 1890-1893.
- Wiegmann, P.B. & Zabrodin, A.V. 1995 Algebraization of difference eigenvalue equations related to $U_q(sl_2)$. Nucl. Phys. **B451** 699-724.
- Yermolayeva, O. & Zhedanov, A. 1999 Spectral transformations and generalized Pollaczek polynomials. Meth. Appl. Anal. 6 1-20.
- Zhedanov, A.S. 1992 "Hidden symmetry" of Askey-Wilson polynomials. *Theor. Math. Phys.* **89** 1146-1157.